

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/671,078	09/25/2003	Robert Parlee	parlee01.005	5824
25247 75	10/03/2005		EXAMINER	
GORDON E NELSON			KUHNS, ALLAN R	
PATENT ATTO	ORNEY, PC			·
57 CENTRAL S	ST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PO BOX 782			1732	
ROWLEY, MA	A 01969		DATE MAIL ED: 10/03/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/671,078	PARLEE, ROBERT	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Allan Kuhns	1732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover she	eet with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statud Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMN. .136(a). In no event, however, I d will apply and will expire SIX (i te, cause the application to become	IUNICATION. nay a reply be timely filed NONTHS from the mailing date of this communication me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25.	July 2005.		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal	matters, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-23</u> is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		1.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-23</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requiremen	t.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b)☐ objecte	d to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received		
Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document	· ·		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
application from the International Burea		son room of in this Hattorial Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		not received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Inter	riew Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) :	
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office A	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 092	705 Ju



Application/Control Number: 10/671,078 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

1.Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. This claim is confusing because it states that the mold is a captured silicon mold and that "the element is the captured silicon", thus indicating that the captured silicon is not really part of the mold but becomes part of the molded lug article. Clarification is required.

- 2.The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3.Claims 17 and 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bishop (5,019,312). Bishop discloses or suggests the basic claimed method of making lugs for joints in a bicycle frame made of composite fiber tubes including (1) making a lay-up of at least carbon or graphite fibers (column 3, lines 41-52) and a matrix material around the joint, (2) applying a mold to the tubes and laid-up fibers and matrix material, and (3) curing or causing reaction and setting (column 5, line 11) of the lug in the mold. It is submitted that the exothermic reaction disclosed by Bishop causes at least some expansion (as in claims 17, 19, 21 and 22) of the mixture 42 of microballoons and adhesive, which forms an element, at least a portion of which is located between the mold and the tubes because of the displacement of the mixture 42

Art Unit: 1732

described at column 4, line 66. The examiner takes Official Notice that it is known to form a bicycle frame from carbon fiber tubes.

Bishop also teaches or suggests the wrapping of the joint with carbon or graphite fabric, as in claims 20 and 23.

4.Applicant's arguments filed July 25, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments are considered to be moot by the examiner based on the revised ground of rejection introduced in this Office action.

5.**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Kuhns whose telephone number is (571) 272-1202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to 5:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/671,078

Art Unit: 1732

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni, can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALLAN R. KUHNS PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 1732

9-27-05